arXiv:1511.05825v1 [math.RT] 18 Nov 2015

ON THE HYPERALGEBRA OF THE LOOP ALGEBRA $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$

QIANG FU

Abstract. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the Garland integral form of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ introduced by Garland [19], where $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$. Using Ringel–Hall algebras, a certain integral form $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ was constructed in [15]. We prove that the Garland integral form $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ coincides with $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Let $\mathcal{k}$ be a commutative ring with unity and let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{k}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes \mathcal{k}$. For $h \geqslant 1$, we use Ringel–Hall algebras to construct a certain subalgebra, denoted by $\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$, of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{k}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. The algebra $\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$ is the affine analogue of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_h$, where $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_h$ is a certain subalgebra of the hyperalgebra associated with $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ introduced by Humhpreys [22]. The algebra $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_h$ plays an important role in the modular representation theory of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. In this paper we give a realization of $\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$ using affine Schur algebras.

1. Introduction

The quantum groups are usually defined by presentations with generators and relations. In a famous paper [30], Ringel discovered the Hall algebra realization of the positive part of the quantum groups associated with finite dimensional semisimple complex Lie algebras. Using a geometric setting of q-Schur algebras, Beilinson–Lusztig–MacPherson (BLM) gave a geometric interpretation for the entitle quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ in [1]. The geometric interpretation is in terms of flags in a vector space over a finite field. They first use q-Schur algebras to construct a certain algebra $\mathbf{K}(n)$ without unity, and realize quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ as a subalgebra of the completion algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}(n)$. The remarkable BLM's work has many important applications. Based on BLM's work, Du [7] established partially the quantum Schur–Weyl duality at the integral level. The algebra $\mathbf{K}(n)$ was later generalized by Lusztig to other types, which is called modified quantum groups (cf. [27, Ch. 23]). Note that the algebra $\mathbf{K}(n)$ is isomorphic to the modified quantum group of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ (see [8, Th. 6.3]). The categorification of the modified quantum group of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ was given in [25, 23].

Like the q-Schur algebra, the affine q-Schur algebra has several equivalent definitions (see [20, 21, 28]). Using affine q-Schur algebras, the quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ was realized in [10] (see also [9, 5.5(2)] and [5, Ch. 6]). These results have important applications to the investigation of the integral affine quantum Schur–Weyl reciprocity (cf. [15, 18, 11]).

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Let G be a simply connected semisimple algebraic group with the root system Φ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the complex semisimple Lie algebra corresponding to Φ. B. Kostant [24] introduced a $\mathbb{Z}$-form $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is isomorphic to the hyperalgebra of G. For each $h \geqslant 1$, Humphreys [22] constructed a certain subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})_h$ of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathfrak{g})$. The algebra $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})_1$ was originally introduced by Curtis[4], as the restricted universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of G. The algebra $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})_1$ plays an important role in the theory of modular representation of Lie algebras. The behavior of finite dimensional $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})_h$-modules is entirely analogous to that of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})_1$-modules. A theory of the quantum version of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})_1$ was developed by Lusztig [26].

Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-form of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ introduced in [15], where $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$. In 4.9, we will construct several bases of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. The basis $\mathfrak{M}$ of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ given in 4.9(1) is the affine analogue of the PBW basis of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ constructed by Kostant [24].

Given a complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, Garland [19] introduced an integral form of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, where $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the loop algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the Garland integral form of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Certain mysterious elements $\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k) \in \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ corresponding to imaginary roots were constructed by Garland [19, (5.6)]. These elements are essential for the construction of the integral basis for $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ given in [19, Th. 5.8]. In 5.5, the elements $\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k)$ will be interpreted in terms of Ringel–Hall algebras. Using 5.5, we will prove in 5.8 that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ coincides with $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a commutative ring with unity. Assume $p = \mathrm{char}\mathcal{K} > 0$. We call $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) := \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ the hyperalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$. For $h \geqslant 1$ we will use Ringel–Hall algebras to construct a certain subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$ of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, which is the affine analogue of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_h$. The quantum version of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_1$ was realized by Beilinson–Lusztig–MacPherson [1] using q-Schur algebras. We will use affine Schur algebras to give a realization of $\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$ in 7.3. More precisely, we will use affine Schur algebras to construct a certain algebra $\mathscr{K}'_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$, which is the affine analogue of the algebra $\mathscr{K}'$ constructed by Beilinson–Lusztig–MacPherson in [1, §6]. We will prove in 7.3 that $\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{K}'_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$.

Infinitesimal Schur algebras are certain important subalgebras of Schur algebras introduced by Doty–Nakano–Peters [6]. A theory of quantum version of infinitesimal Schur algebras were investigated by Cox [2, 3]. In [12, 14], the little q-Schur algebras were introduced as subalgebras of q-Schur algebras and its algebraic structure was investigated. The relation between infinitesimal and little q-Schur algebras was investigated in [13]. We will investigate the affine version of infinitesimal and little Schur algebras in §7.

Notation 1.1. For a positive integer n, let $M_{\vartriangle n}(\mathbb{Q})$ (resp., $M_{\vartriangle n}(\mathbb{Z})$) be the set of all matrices $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ (resp., $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$) such that

(a) $a_{i,j} = a_{i+n,j+n}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(b) for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, both sets $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{i,j} \neq 0\}$ and $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{j,i} \neq 0\}$ are finite.

Let $\widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n) = \{A \in M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \mid a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i \neq j,\ a_{i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall i\}$. Let $\Theta_\vartriangle(n) = \{A \in M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i, j\}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle = \{(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ \lambda_i = \lambda_{i-n} \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^n_\vartriangle = \{(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle \mid \lambda_i \geqslant 0 \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. There is a natural order relation $\leqslant$ on $\mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle$ defined by

$$\lambda \leqslant \mu \iff \lambda_i \leqslant \mu_i \text{ for all } i. \tag{1.1.1}$$

We say that $\lambda < \mu$ if $\lambda \leqslant \mu$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$.

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, where v is an indeterminate. Specializing v to 1, $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ will be viewed as $\mathcal{Z}$-modules. Let $\mathcal{k}$ be a commutative ring with unity. Assume $p = \mathrm{char}\mathcal{k} > 0$.

2. The BLM realization of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$

Let $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the loop algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$, where $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n := \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\mathtt{t}, \mathtt{t}^{-1}]$. For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $E^\vartriangle_{i,j} \in M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ be the matrix $(e^{i,j}_{k,l})_{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by

$$e^{i,j}_{k,l} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = i + sn, l = j + sn \text{ for some } s \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the map

$$M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n,\ \ E^\vartriangle_{i,j+ln} \longmapsto E_{i,j} \otimes \mathtt{t}^l,\ 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism. We will identify $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$ with $M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ in the sequel.

The positive part of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ can be interpreted in terms of Ringel–Hall algebras, which we now describe. Let $\vartriangle(n)$ ($n \geqslant 2$) be the cyclic quiver with vertex set $I = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and arrow set $\{i \to i+1 \mid i \in I\}$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. For $i \in I$, let S_i be the corresponding irreducible representation of $\vartriangle(n)$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Let

$$\Theta^+_\vartriangle(n) = \{A \in \Theta_\vartriangle(n) \mid a_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for } i \geqslant j\}.$$

For $A \in \Theta^+_\vartriangle(n)$, let

$$M(A) = M_\mathbb{F}(A) = \bigoplus_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n \\ i<j,\, j\in\mathbb{Z}}} a_{i,j} M^{i,j},$$

where $M^{i,j}$ is the unique indecomposable representation for $\vartriangle(n)$ of length $j - i$ with top S_i.

By [31], for $A, B, C \in \Theta^+_\vartriangle(n)$, let $\varphi^C_{A,B} \in \mathbb{Z}[v^2]$ be the Hall polynomials such that, for any finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\varphi^C_{A,B}|_{v^2=q}$ is equal to the number of submodules N of $M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C)$ satisfying $N \cong M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B)$ and $M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C)/N \cong M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle$, we set $\langle \lambda, \mu\rangle = \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \lambda_i\mu_i - \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \lambda_i\mu_{i+1}$.

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, where v is an indeterminate. Let $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)$ be the *(generic) Ringel–Hall algebra* of $\triangle(n)$, which is by definition the free module over $\mathcal{Z}$ with basis $\{u_A \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)\}$. The multiplication is given by

$$u_A u_B = v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{d}(B)\rangle} \sum_{C \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)} \varphi_{A,B}^C(v^2) u_C$$

for $A, B \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, where $\mathbf{d}(A) \in \mathbb{N}I$ is the dimension vector of $M(A)$.

Let $\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q} = \mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n) \otimes_\mathcal{Z} \mathbb{Q}$, where $\mathbb{Q}$ is regarded as a $\mathcal{Z}$-module by specializing v to 1. For $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$ let

$$u_{A,1} = u_A \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q}.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([5, 6.1.4])**.** *There is a unique injective algebra homomorphism $\theta^+ : \mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ (resp., $\theta^- : \mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$) taking $u_{E_{i,j}^\triangle,1} \mapsto E_{i,j}^\triangle$ (resp., $u_{E_{i,j}^\triangle,1} \mapsto E_{j,i}^\triangle$) for all $i < j$.*

Let $\mathcal{U}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \theta^+(\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathcal{U}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \theta^-(\mathfrak{H}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{op}})$. Let $\mathcal{U}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by H_i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, where $H_i = E_{i,i}^\triangle$. Then we have $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes \mathcal{U}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes \mathcal{U}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

We now recall the definition of affine Schur algebras. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ be the extended affine symmetric group consisting of all permutations $w : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $w(i + r) = w(i) + r$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\Lambda_\triangle(n, r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n \mid \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \lambda_i = r\}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n, r)$ let $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda := \mathfrak{S}_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)}$ be the corresponding standard Young subgroup of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$. For a finite subset $X \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n, r)$, let

$$\underline{X} = \sum_{x \in X} x \in \mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}.$$

The endomorphism algebras over $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n, r)_\mathbb{Z} := \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}}\Big(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)} \mathbb{Z}\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}\Big) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n, r)_\mathbb{Q} := \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}}\Big(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)} \mathbb{Q}\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}\Big)$$

are called affine Schur algebras (cf. [20, 21, 28]). Note that $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n, r)_\mathbb{Q} \cong \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n, r)_\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\triangle(n, r)$, let $\mathscr{D}_\lambda^\triangle = \{d \mid d \in \mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}, \ell(wd) = \ell(w) + \ell(d) \text{ for } w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda\}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^\triangle = \mathscr{D}_\lambda^\triangle \cap \mathscr{D}_\mu^{\triangle\,-1}$. By [5, (3.2.1.5)] we have

$$d^{-1} \in \mathscr{D}_\lambda^\triangle \iff d(\lambda_{0,i-1} + 1) < d(\lambda_{0,i-1} + 2) < \cdots < d(\lambda_{0,i-1} + \lambda_i),\ \forall 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n. \tag{2.1.1}$$

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$R_{i+kn}^\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,i-1} + 1, \lambda_{k,i-1} + 2, \ldots, \lambda_{k,i-1} + \lambda_i = \lambda_{k,i}\}, \tag{2.1.2}$$

where $\lambda_{k,i-1} = kr + \sum_{1 \leqslant t \leqslant i-1} \lambda_t$. Let

$$\Theta_\triangle(n, r) = \{A \in \Theta_\triangle(n) \mid \sigma(A) = r\},$$

where $\sigma(A) = \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\, j\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j}$. By [32, §7.4] (see also [9, Lem. 9.2]), there is a bijective map

$$\jmath_\triangle : \{(\lambda, d, \mu) \mid d \in \mathscr{D}^\triangle_{\lambda,\mu}, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)\} \longrightarrow \Theta_\triangle(n,r)$$

sending (λ, w, μ) to $A = (a_{k,l})$, where $a_{k,l} = |R^\lambda_k \cap wR^\mu_l|$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $A = \jmath_\triangle(\lambda, d, \mu) \in \Theta_\triangle(n,r)$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)$, $d \in \mathscr{D}^\triangle_{\lambda,\mu}$, define $[A]_1 \in \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$[A]_1(\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\nu h}) = \delta_{\mu\nu}\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d \mathfrak{S}_\mu h}$$

where $\nu \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$. Then by [21, Th. 2.2.4] the set $\{[A]_1 \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle(n,r)\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Z}$.

The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and the affine Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ are related by a surjective algebra homomorphism ξ_r, which we now describe. Let

$$\Theta^\pm_\triangle(n) = \{A \in \Theta_\triangle(n) \mid a_{i,i} = 0 \text{ for all } i\}.$$

For $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$ let

$$A[\mathbf{j}, r] = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda_\triangle(n,r-\sigma(A))} \mu^{\mathbf{j}}[A + \mathrm{diag}(\mu)]_1 \in \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}.$$

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_i \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$ be the element defined by

$$(\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_i)_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \equiv i \mod n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By [5, Th. 6.1.5], there is an algebra homomorphism

$$\xi_r : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q} \tag{2.1.3}$$

such that $\xi_r(E^\triangle_{i,j}) = E^\triangle_{i,j}[\mathbf{0}, r]$ and $\xi_r(H_i) = 0[\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_i, r]$ for $i \neq j$. The maps ξ_r induce an algebra homomorphism

$$\xi = \prod_{r\geqslant 0} \xi_r : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \prod_{r\geqslant 0} \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}. \tag{2.1.4}$$

For $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$, we set

$$A[\mathbf{j}] = (A[\mathbf{j}, r])_{r\geqslant 0} \in \prod_{r\geqslant 0} \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-subspace of $\prod_{r\geqslant 0} \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by $A[\mathbf{j}]$ for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$.

Theorem 2.2 ([5, Th. 6.3.4])**.** *The $\mathbb{Q}$-space $\mathcal{V}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ is a subalgebra of $\prod_{r\geqslant 0} \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $\{A[\mathbf{j}] \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle\}$. Furthermore, the map ξ is injective and induces a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra isomorphism $\xi : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \mathcal{V}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q}$.*

We shall identify $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ with $\mathcal{V}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ via ξ and hence identify $E^\triangle_{i,j}$ with $E^\triangle_{i,j}[\mathbf{0}]$, etc., in the sequel.

3. Multiplication formulas in affine Schur algebras

Some multiplication formulas in affine Schur algebras were given in [5, Prop. 6.2.3]. These formulas are very important for the realization of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ given in [5, Ch. 6]. However, these formulas are not enough for the investigation of $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$. We will generalize these formulas to a more general setting in 3.5.

We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)$ and $d \in \mathscr{D}^\triangle_{\lambda,\mu}$ with $\jmath_\triangle(\lambda, d, \mu) = A \in \Theta_\triangle(n,r)$, let $\nu^{(i)}$ be the composition of λ_i obtained by removing all zeros from row i of A. Then $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \cap d\mathfrak{S}_\mu d^{-1} = \mathfrak{S}_\nu$, where $\nu = (\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(n)})$.*

By [5, (6.4.0.1)], we have

$$\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda} w \underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu} = |w^{-1}\mathfrak{S}_\lambda w \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu| \underline{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda w \mathfrak{S}_\mu} \tag{3.1.1}$$

for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$.

If $\{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_t\}$ is a subset of $\{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\triangle,r}$ is such that $w(s) = s$ for $s \in \{1, 2, \cdots, r\} \backslash \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_t\}$ then the element w will be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_t \\ w(i_1) & w(i_2) & \cdots & w(i_t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose $\lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)$. Let $k \geqslant 1$, $1 \leqslant h \leqslant n$ and $j = a + mn \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j \neq h$, $1 \leqslant a \leqslant n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $h < a$ and $m \geqslant 0$ let

$$u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{0,h}+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,a-1} & \lambda_{0,a-1}+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,a-1}+k \\ \lambda_{0,h}+1+k & \cdots & \lambda_{0,a-1}+k & \lambda_{0,h}-mr+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,h}-mr+k \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $h \leqslant a$ and $m < 0$ let

$$u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{0,h-1}+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,a}-k & \lambda_{0,a}-k+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,a} \\ \lambda_{0,h-1}+1+k & \cdots & \lambda_{0,a} & \lambda_{0,h-1}-mr+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,h-1}-mr+k \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $h \geqslant a$ and $m > 0$ let

$$u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{0,a-1}+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,a-1}+k & \lambda_{0,a-1}+k+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,h} \\ \lambda_{0,h}-mr-k+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,h}-mr & \lambda_{0,a-1}+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,h}-k \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $h > a$ and $m \leqslant 0$ let

$$u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{0,a}-k+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,a} & \lambda_{0,a}+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,h-1} \\ \lambda_{0,h-1}-mr-k+1 & \cdots & \lambda_{0,h-1}-mr & \lambda_{0,a}+1-k & \cdots & \lambda_{0,h-1}-k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining (2.1.1) and 3.1, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Maintain the notation introduced above.*

(1) $u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k} \in \mathscr{D}^\triangle_{\lambda + k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h - k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j, \lambda}$ *and* $\jmath_\triangle(\lambda + k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h - k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j, u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k}, \lambda) = kE^\triangle_{h,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j)$.

(2) $\mathfrak{S}_\beta = (u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k})^{-1}\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j}u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k}\cap\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ *where*

$$\beta=\begin{cases}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{a-1},k,\lambda_a-k,\lambda_{a+1},\dots,\lambda_n), & \text{if either } m>0 \text{ or both } m=0 \text{ and } h<a;\\ (\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{a-1},\lambda_a-k,k,\lambda_{a+1},\dots,\lambda_n), & \text{if either } m<0 \text{ or both } m=0 \text{ and } h>a.\end{cases}$$

For $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $\bar{i}$ denote the integer modulo n. For $A\in\widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)$, let $\mathrm{ro}(A)=\big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}a_{i,j}\big)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathrm{co}(A)=\big(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}a_{i,j}\big)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Note that if $A,B\in\Theta_\triangle(n,r)$ with $\mathrm{co}(B)\neq\mathrm{ro}(A)$, then $[B]_1\cdot[A]_1=0$ in $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $k\geqslant 1$, $1\leqslant h\leqslant n$ and $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{j}\neq\bar{h}$. Assume $A\in\Theta_\triangle(n,r)$ and $\lambda=\mathrm{ro}(A)\geqslant k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j$. Then the following identity holds in $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Z}$:*

$$[kE^\triangle_{h,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j)]_1\cdot[A]_1=\sum_{\substack{\delta\in\Lambda(\infty,k)\\ a_{j,t}\geqslant\delta_t,\,\forall t}}\prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\binom{a_{h,t}+\delta_t}{\delta_t}\left[A+\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta_t(E^\triangle_{h,t}-E^\triangle_{j,t})\right]_1,$$

where $\Lambda(\infty,k)=\{(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mid\lambda_i\in\mathbb{N}\ \forall i,\ \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\lambda_i=k\}$.

Proof. Assume $\mu=\mathrm{co}(A)$ and $d\in\mathscr{D}^\triangle_{\lambda,\mu}$ such that $\jmath_\triangle(\lambda,d,\mu)=A$. We write $j=a+mn$ with $1\leqslant a\leqslant n$ and $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then by 3.1, (3.1.1) and 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}[kE^\triangle_{h,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j)]_1[A]_1(\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu})&=\underline{\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j}u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k}\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}\cdot d\cdot\underline{\mathscr{D}^\triangle_\alpha\cap\mathfrak{S}_\mu}\\ &=\frac{1}{|\mathfrak{S}_\lambda|}\underline{\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j}u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k}\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}\cdot\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d\mathfrak{S}_\mu}\\ &=\frac{1}{|\mathfrak{S}_\lambda|}\prod_{\substack{1\leqslant s\leqslant n\\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}}\frac{1}{a_{s,t}!}\underline{\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j}u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k}\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}\cdot\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}\cdot d\cdot\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu}\\ &=\prod_{\substack{1\leqslant s\leqslant n\\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}}\frac{1}{a_{s,t}!}\underline{\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j}u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k}\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}\cdot d\cdot\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu}\\ &=\prod_{\substack{1\leqslant s\leqslant n\\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}}\frac{1}{a_{s,t}!}\underline{\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j}}\cdot u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k}\cdot\underline{\mathscr{D}^\triangle_\beta\cap\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}\cdot d\cdot\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha=d^{-1}\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d\cap\mathfrak{S}_\mu$ and β is as in 3.2(2).

For $w\in\mathscr{D}^\triangle_\beta\cap\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ let $B^{(w)}=(b^{(w)}_{s,t})\in\Theta_\triangle(n,r)$, where $b^{(w)}_{s,t}=|R_s^{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j}\cap u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k}wdR^\mu_t|=|w^{-1}(u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k})^{-1}R_s^{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h-k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j}\cap dR^\mu_t|$. Let

$$Y_{h,a,m}=\begin{cases}\{\lambda_{0,a-1}+1+mr,\cdots,\lambda_{0,a-1}+k+mr\} & \text{if either } m>0 \text{ or both } m=0 \text{ and } h<a;\\ \{\lambda_{0,a}-k+1+mr,\cdots,\lambda_{0,a}+mr\} & \text{if either } m<0 \text{ or both } m=0 \text{ and } h>a.\end{cases}$$

Then for $w \in \mathscr{D}_\beta^\triangle \cap \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ we have

$$w^{-1}(u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k})^{-1} R_s^{\lambda+k\boldsymbol{e}_h^\triangle - k\boldsymbol{e}_j^\triangle} = \begin{cases} R_s^\lambda & \text{if } 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n \text{ and } \bar{s} \neq \bar{h}, \bar{j}; \\ R_h^\lambda \cup w^{-1}(Y_{h,a,m}) & \text{if } s = h; \\ R_j^\lambda \backslash w^{-1}(Y_{h,a,m}) & \text{if } s = j. \end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$b_{s,t}^{(w)} = \begin{cases} a_{s,t} & \text{if } 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n \text{ and } \bar{s} \neq \bar{h}, \bar{j}; \\ a_{h,t} + |w^{-1}(Y_{h,a,m}) \cap dR_t^\mu| & \text{if } s = h; \\ a_{j,t} - |w^{-1}(Y_{h,a,m}) \cap dR_t^\mu| & \text{if } s = j. \end{cases}$$

Thus by 3.1 and (3.1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [kE_{h,j}^\triangle + \operatorname{diag}(\lambda - k\boldsymbol{e}_j^\triangle)]_1 [A]_1 (\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu}) \\ = & \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant s \leqslant n \\ t \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{a_{s,t}!} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda \cap \mathscr{D}_\beta^\triangle} \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant s \leqslant n \\ t \in \mathbb{Z}}} b_{s,t}^{(w)}! \underline{\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda + k\boldsymbol{e}_h^\triangle - k\boldsymbol{e}_j^\triangle} u^\lambda_{a,m,h,k} w d\mathfrak{S}_\mu} \\ = & \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda \cap \mathscr{D}_\beta^\triangle} \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant s \leqslant n \\ t \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{b_{s,t}^{(w)}!}{a_{s,t}!} [B^{(w)}]_1 (\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu}) \\ = & \sum_{\delta \in \Lambda(\infty,k)} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda \cap \mathscr{D}_\beta^\triangle \\ \delta_t = |w^{-1}(Y_{h,a,m}) \cap dR_t^\mu|, \, \forall t}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant s \leqslant n \\ t \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{b_{s,t}^{(w)}!}{a_{s,t}!} [B^{(w)}]_1 (\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu}) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \Lambda(\infty,k) \\ a_{j,t} \geqslant \delta_t, \, \forall t}} |\mathcal{X}_\delta| \prod_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(a_{h,t} + \delta_t)!(a_{j,t} - \delta_t)!}{a_{h,t}! a_{j,t}!} \left[A + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_t (E_{h,t}^\triangle - E_{j,t}^\triangle) \right]_1 (\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{X}_\delta = \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda \cap \mathscr{D}_\beta \mid \delta_t = |w^{-1}(Y_{h,a,m}) \cap dR_t^\mu|, \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For $\delta \in \Lambda(\infty, k)$ let $\mathcal{Y}_\delta = \{Y \mid Y \subseteq R_j^\lambda, |Y| = k, |Y \cap dR_t^\mu| = \delta_t, \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\delta = \{(Z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid |Z_t| = \delta_t, Z_t \subseteq R_j^\lambda \cap dR_t^\mu, \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} g_\delta : \mathcal{X}_\delta & \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\delta, & h_\delta : \mathcal{Y}_\delta & \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\delta, \\ w & \longmapsto w^{-1}(Y_{h,a,m}), & Y & \longmapsto (Y \cap dR_t^\mu)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the maps g_δ and h_δ are all bijective (cf. [18, Lem. 5.2]). It follows that $|\mathcal{X}_\delta| = |\mathcal{Y}_\delta| = |\mathcal{Z}_\delta| = \prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{a_{j,t}}{\delta_t}$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[kE^\triangle_{h,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j)]_1[A]_1\\
&= \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\Lambda(\infty,k)\\ a_{j,t}\geqslant\delta_t,\,\forall t}} \prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{a_{j,t}}{\delta_t} \frac{(a_{h,t}+\delta_t)!(a_{j,t}-\delta_t)!}{a_{h,t}!a_{j,t}!} \Big[A + \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta_t(E^\triangle_{h,t} - E^\triangle_{j,t})\Big]_1\\
&= \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\Lambda(\infty,k)\\ a_{j,t}\geqslant\delta_t,\,\forall t}} \prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{a_{h,t}+\delta_t}{\delta_t} \Big[A + \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta_t(E^\triangle_{h,t} - E^\triangle_{j,t})\Big]_1
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $k \geqslant 1$, $1 \leqslant h \leqslant n$ and $m \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $A \in \Theta_\triangle(n,r)$ and $\lambda = \mathrm{ro}(A) \geqslant k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h$. Then the following identity holds in $\mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[kE^\triangle_{h,h+mn} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_h)]_1 \cdot [A]_1\\
&= \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\Lambda(\infty,k)\\ a_{h+mn,t}-\delta_t+\delta_{t-mn}\geqslant 0,\,\forall t}} \prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{a_{h,t}+\delta_t-\delta_{t+mn}}{\delta_t} \Big[A + \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta_t(E^\triangle_{h,t} - E^\triangle_{h+mn,t})\Big]_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $u^\lambda_{m,h,k} = u^\lambda_{h,m,h,k}$. Assume $\mu = \mathrm{co}(A)$ and $d \in \mathscr{D}^\triangle_{\lambda,\mu}$ such that $\jmath_\triangle(\lambda,d,\mu) = A$. Let $j = h + mn$. Then by 3.1, (3.1.1) and 3.2 we have

$$[kE^\triangle_{h,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j)]_1[A]_1(\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu}) = \prod_{\substack{1\leqslant s\leqslant n\\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{a_{s,t}!} \underline{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda} \cdot u^\lambda_{m,h,k} \cdot \underline{\mathscr{D}^\triangle_\beta \cap \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} \cdot d \cdot \underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu},$$

where β is as in 3.2(2).

For $w \in \mathscr{D}^\triangle_\beta \cap \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ let $B^{(w)} = (b^{(w)}_{i,j}) \in \Theta_\triangle(n,r)$, where $b^{(w)}_{s,t} = |R^\lambda_s \cap u^\lambda_{m,h,k} w dR^\mu_t| = |w^{-1}(u^\lambda_{m,h,k})^{-1}R^\lambda_s \cap dR^\mu_t|$. Then we have

$$(u^\lambda_{m,h,k})^{-1}R^\lambda_s = \begin{cases} R^\lambda_s & \text{if } 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n \text{ and } s \neq h;\\ (R^\lambda_h \backslash (Y_m - mr)) \cup Y_m & \text{if } s = h, \end{cases}$$

where

$$Y_m = \begin{cases} \{\lambda_{0,h-1} + 1 + mr, \cdots, \lambda_{0,h-1} + k + mr\} & \text{if } m > 0\\ \{\lambda_{0,h} - k + 1 + mr, \cdots, \lambda_{0,h} + mr\} & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$b^{(w)}_{s,t} = \begin{cases} a_{s,t} & \text{if } 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n \text{ and } s \neq h;\\ a_{h,t} - |w^{-1}(Y_m - mr) \cap dR^\mu_t| + |w^{-1}(Y_m) \cap dR^\mu_t| & \text{if } s = h. \end{cases}$$

This together with 3.1 and (3.1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&[kE^{\triangle}_{h,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-k\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_j)]_1[A]_1(\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu})\\
=&\sum_{w\in\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\cap\mathscr{D}^{\triangle}_\beta}\prod_{\substack{1\leqslant s\leqslant n\\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}}\frac{b^{(w)}_{s,t}!}{a_{s,t}!}[B^{(w)}]_1(\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu})\\
=&\sum_{\substack{\delta\in\Lambda(\infty,k)\\ a_{j,t}-\delta_t+\delta_{t-mn}\geqslant 0,\,\forall t}}|\mathcal{X}_\delta|\prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{(a_{h,t}+\delta_t-\delta_{t+mn})!}{a_{h,t}!}\Big[A+\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta_t(E^{\triangle}_{h,t}-E^{\triangle}_{j,t})\Big]_1(\underline{\mathfrak{S}_\mu}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{X}_\delta=\{w\in\mathfrak{S}_\lambda\cap\mathscr{D}_\beta\mid \delta_t=|w^{-1}(Y_m)\cap dR^\mu_t|,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{Z}\}$. For $\delta\in\Lambda(\infty,k)$ there is a bijective map $f_\delta:\mathcal{X}_\delta\to\mathcal{Z}_\delta$ defined by sending w to $(w^{-1}(Y_m)\cap dR^\mu_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathcal{Z}_\delta=\{(Z_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\mid |Z_t|=\delta_t,\ Z_t\subseteq R^\lambda_j\cap dR^\mu_t,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ (cf. [18, Lem. 5.2]). This implies that

$$|\mathcal{X}_\delta|=|\mathcal{Z}_\delta|=\prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\binom{a_{j,t}}{\delta_t}=\prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{a_{h,t}!}{\delta_t!(a_{h,t}-\delta_{t+mn})!}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[kE^{\triangle}_{h,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-k\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_j)]_1[A]_1\\
=&\sum_{\substack{\delta\in\Lambda(\infty,k)\\ a_{j,t}-\delta_t+\delta_{t-mn}\geqslant 0,\,\forall t}}\prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\binom{a_{h,t}+\delta_t-\delta_{t+mn}}{\delta_t}\Big[A+\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta_t(E^{\triangle}_{h,t}-E^{\triangle}_{j,t})\Big]_1.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Combining 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $k\geqslant 1$, and $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $i\neq j$. Assume $A\in\Theta_{\triangle}(n,r)$ and $\lambda=\mathrm{ro}(A)\geqslant k\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_j$. Then the following identity holds in $\mathcal{S}_{\triangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Z}}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[kE^{\triangle}_{i,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-k\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_j)]_1\cdot[A]_1\\
=&\sum_{\substack{\delta\in\Lambda(\infty,k)\\ a_{j,t}-\delta_t+\delta^{\bar{}}_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\delta_{t+i-j}\geqslant 0,\,\forall t}}\prod_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\binom{a_{i,t}+\delta_t-\delta^{\bar{}}_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\delta_{t+j-i}}{\delta_t}\Big[A+\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta_t(E^{\triangle}_{i,t}-E^{\triangle}_{j,t})\Big]_1.
\end{aligned}$$

4. The algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$

Recall from §2 that $\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)$ is the Ringel-Hall algebra of $\triangle(n)$ over $\mathcal{Z}$. Let $\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}}=\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)\otimes_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is regarded as a $\mathcal{Z}$-module by specializing v to 1. Let $\mathcal{U}^+_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)=\theta^+(\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)=\theta^-(\mathfrak{H}_{\triangle}(n)^{\mathrm{op}}_{\mathbb{Z}})$, where the maps θ^+ and θ^- are defined in 2.1. For $\lambda\in\mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle}$ let

$$\binom{H}{\lambda}=\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\binom{H_i}{\lambda_i},$$

where $H_i=E^{\triangle}_{i,i}$ and

$$\binom{H_i}{\lambda_i}=\frac{H_i(H_i-1)\cdots(H_i-\lambda_i+1)}{\lambda_i!}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^0_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ spanned by $\binom{H}{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}^+_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)\mathcal{U}^0_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

For $A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)$ let

$$u^+_{A,1} = \theta^+(u_{A,1}) \in \mathcal{U}^+_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \text{ and } u^-_{A,1} = \theta^-(u_{A,1}) \in \mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n). \tag{4.0.1}$$

By [5, Prop. 6.1.4 and Th. 6.1.5] we have

$$u^+_{A,1} = A\{\mathbf{0}\} \text{ and } u^-_{A,1} = ({}^tA)\{\mathbf{0}\}, \tag{4.0.2}$$

where ${}^tA$ is the transpose matrix of A. The following result is given in [15, Th. 6.5 and Lem. 6.2].

Theorem 4.1. *The $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Furthermore, the set $\{u^+_{A,1}\binom{H}{\lambda}u^-_{B,1} \mid A, B \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.*

For $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$ let

$$A\{\lambda, r\} = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(A))} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} [A + \mathrm{diag}(\mu)]_1 \in \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $\binom{\mu}{\lambda} = \binom{\mu_1}{\lambda_1}\cdots\binom{\mu_n}{\lambda_n}$. Also, for $A \in M_{\triangle n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we set $A\{\lambda, r\} = 0$ if $a_{i,j} < 0$ for some $i \neq j$. Let

$$A\{\lambda\} = (A\{\lambda, r\})_{r \geqslant 0} \in \prod_{r \geqslant 0} \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Clearly, we have

$$0\{\lambda\} = \binom{H}{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n), \tag{4.1.1}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$.

According to [18, Prop. 7.3(1)] we have the following result (cf. [16, Lem. 3.4]).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$. Then we have the following formula in $\prod_{r \geqslant 0} \mathcal{S}_\triangle(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$:*

$$\binom{H}{\mu} A\{\lambda\} = \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle \\ \delta \leqslant \mu}} \binom{\delta + \lambda}{\lambda} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle \\ \beta \leqslant \mu - \delta,\, \beta \leqslant \lambda}} \binom{\mathrm{ro}(A)}{\mu - \beta - \delta} \binom{\lambda}{\beta} \Bigg) A\{\lambda + \delta\}.$$

By 2.2 we know that $A\{\mathbf{0}\} = A[\mathbf{0}] \in \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Furthermore, from 4.2 we see that

$$\binom{H}{\lambda} A\{\mathbf{0}\} = A\{\lambda\} + \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle \\ \delta < \lambda}} \binom{\mathrm{ro}(A)}{\lambda - \delta} A\{\delta\}. \tag{4.2.1}$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$. Consequently, we conclude that $A\{\lambda\} \in \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ for all A, λ. We will see in 4.9 that $A\{\lambda\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ for all A, λ.

We now use 3.5 to establish the following multiplication formulas in $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \neq j$. Assume $A \in \Theta_{\triangle}^{\pm}(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n$. The following multiplication formulas hold in $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$:*

$$(kE_{i,j}^{\triangle})\{\mathbf{0}\}A\{\lambda\} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\Lambda(\infty,k)\\ \delta\in\mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n,\,\delta\leqslant\lambda}} a(\alpha,\delta)A^{(\alpha)}\{\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}+\delta\},$$

where $A^{(\alpha)} = A + \sum_{t\neq i,\,t\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t E_{i,t}^{\triangle} - \sum_{t\neq j,\,t\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_t E_{j,t}^{\triangle}$ and

$$a(\alpha,\delta) = \binom{\delta_i+\alpha_i}{\alpha_i} \prod_{\substack{t\neq i\\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}} \binom{a_{i,t}+\alpha_t-\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{i}}\alpha_{t+j-i}}{\alpha_t} \sum_{0\leqslant b\leqslant\min\{\alpha_i,\lambda_i-\delta_i\}} \binom{\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle}-\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}}{\lambda-\delta-b\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}} \binom{\alpha_i}{b}.$$

Proof. By 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}(kE_{i,j}^{\triangle})\{\mathbf{0},r\}A\{\lambda,r\} &= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_{\triangle}^n} \binom{\gamma}{\lambda} \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda(\infty,k)} \prod_{\substack{t\neq i\\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}} \binom{a_{i,t}+\alpha_t-\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{i}}\alpha_{t+j-i}}{\alpha_t} \binom{\gamma_i+\alpha_i-\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{i}}\alpha_j}{\alpha_i}\\ &\qquad\times\Big[A^{(\alpha)}+\operatorname{diag}(\gamma+\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}-\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle})\Big]_1\\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda(\infty,k)} \prod_{\substack{t\neq i\\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}} \binom{a_{i,t}+\alpha_t-\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{i}}\alpha_{t+j-i}}{\alpha_t} x_\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_\alpha = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_{\triangle}^n} \binom{\nu-\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}+\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle}}{\lambda} \binom{\nu_i}{\alpha_i} \Big[A^{(\alpha)}+\operatorname{diag}(\nu)\Big]_1.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\binom{\nu-\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}+\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle}}{\lambda} \binom{\nu_i}{\alpha_i}\\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n,\,\mathbf{j}\leqslant\lambda} \binom{\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle}-\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}}{\lambda-\mathbf{j}} \binom{\nu}{\mathbf{j}} \binom{\nu_i}{\alpha_i}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n,\,\mathbf{j}\leqslant\lambda\\ 0\leqslant b\leqslant\min\{j_i,\alpha_i\}}} \binom{\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle}-\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}}{\lambda-\mathbf{j}} \binom{j_i+\alpha_i-b}{b,\,j_i-b,\,\alpha_i-b} \binom{\nu}{\mathbf{j}+(\alpha_i-b)\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}},\end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}x_\alpha &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n,\,\mathbf{j}\leqslant\lambda\\ 0\leqslant b\leqslant\min\{j_i,\alpha_i\}}} \binom{\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle}-\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}}{\lambda-\mathbf{j}} \binom{j_i+\alpha_i-b}{b,\,j_i-b,\,\alpha_i-b} A^{(\alpha)}\{\mathbf{j}+(\alpha_i-b)\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle},r\}.\\ &= \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n,\,\delta\leqslant\lambda\\ 0\leqslant b\leqslant\min\{\lambda_i-\delta_i,\alpha_i\}}} \binom{\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle}-\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}}{\lambda-\delta-b\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}} \binom{\delta_i+\alpha_i}{b,\,\delta_i,\,\alpha_i-b} A^{(\alpha)}\{\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}+\delta,r\}\\ &= \binom{\delta_i+\alpha_i}{\alpha_i} \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_{\triangle}^n,\,\delta\leqslant\lambda\\ 0\leqslant b\leqslant\min\{\lambda_i-\delta_i,\alpha_i\}}} \binom{\alpha_j\boldsymbol{e}_j^{\triangle}-\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}}{\lambda-\delta-b\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}} \binom{\alpha_i}{b} A^{(\alpha)}\{\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^{\triangle}+\delta,r\}.\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. □

Let

$$\mathcal{L}^+ = \{(i,j) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\ j \in \mathbb{Z},\ i < j\} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}^- = \{(i,j) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\ j \in \mathbb{Z},\ i > j\}. \tag{4.3.1}$$

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^+ \cup \mathcal{L}^-$.

Corollary 4.4. *Assume $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$. Then the following triangular relation holds in $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$:*

$$\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}} (a_{i,j}E_{i,j}^\vartriangle)\{\mathbf{0}\} = A\{\mathbf{0}\} + \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n),\ \delta\in\mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n \\ \sigma(B)<\sigma(A)}} f_{B,\delta,A} B\{\delta\}$$

where $f_{B,\delta,A} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the products are taken with respect to any fixed total order on $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. By 4.3 we have

$$(kE_{i,j}^\vartriangle)\{\mathbf{0}\}A\{\mathbf{0}\} = \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda(\infty,k)} \prod_{\substack{t\neq i \\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}} \binom{a_{i,t}+\alpha_t-\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{i}}\alpha_{t+j-i}}{\alpha_t} A^{(\alpha)}\{\alpha_i \boldsymbol{e}_i^\vartriangle\} \tag{4.4.1}$$

where $A^{(\alpha)}$ is as in 4.3. Let $\boldsymbol{e}_j = (\delta_{i,j})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda(\infty,1)$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda(\infty,k)$ and $\alpha \neq k\boldsymbol{e}_j$ then we have $\sigma(A^{(\alpha)}) < \sigma(A)+k$. Consequently, for $k \geqslant 1$ and $i \neq j$, we have

$$(kE_{i,j}^\vartriangle)\{\mathbf{0}\}A\{\mathbf{0}\} = \binom{a_{i,j}+k}{k}(A+kE_{i,j}^\vartriangle)\{\mathbf{0}\} + f, \tag{4.4.2}$$

where f is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of $B\{\delta\}$ such that $B \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n$ and $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)+k$. Now, induction on $\sigma(A)$ yields the result. □

As an application of 4.3, we obtain the following multiplication formulas in the Ringel–Hall algebra $\mathfrak{H}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i < j$. Assume $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^+(n)$. The following multiplication formulas hold in $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$:*

$$u^+_{kE_{i,j}^\vartriangle,1}u^+_{A,1} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\Lambda(\infty,k) \\ \alpha_t=0,\,\forall t\leqslant i}} \prod_{\substack{t\neq i \\ t\in\mathbb{Z}}} \binom{a_{i,t}+\alpha_t-\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{i}}\alpha_{t+j-i}}{\alpha_t} u^+_{A^{(\alpha)},1} = \binom{a_{i,j}+k}{k} u^+_{A+kE_{i,j}^\vartriangle,1} + f$$

where $A^{(\alpha)}$ is as in 4.3 and f is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of $u^+_{B,1}$ such that $B \in \Theta_\vartriangle^+(n)$ and $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)+k$. A similar result holds for $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

Proof. Assume $A^{(\alpha)}\{\alpha_i\boldsymbol{e}_i^\vartriangle\} \neq 0$ for some $\alpha \in \Lambda(\infty,k)$. Write $A^{(\alpha)} = (a_{s,t}^{(\alpha)})_{s,t\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Then $a_{s,t}^{(\alpha)} \geqslant 0$ for all s,t. By (4.4.1), it is enough to prove that $\alpha_t = 0$ for $t \leqslant i$. Since $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^+(n)$ and $j > i$ we have $a_{j,t} = 0$ for $t \leqslant i$. It follows that $\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{i}}\alpha_{t+i-j} - \alpha_t = a_{j,t} + \delta_{\bar{j},\bar{i}}\alpha_{t+i-j} - \alpha_t = a_{j,t}^{(\alpha)} \geqslant 0$ for $t \leqslant i$. Thus, if $\bar{j} \neq \bar{i}$ then $\alpha_t = 0$ for $t \leqslant i$. Now we assume $j = i+mn$ for some $m > 0$. Then $\alpha_{t-mn} \geqslant \alpha_t$ for $t \leqslant i$. This implies that if $t \leqslant i$, then there exists some $u \geqslant 1$ such that $0 = \alpha_{t-umn} \geqslant \alpha_t$ and hence $\alpha_t = 0$. The proposition is proved. □

Let $\Theta_\triangle^-(n)=\{A\in\Theta_\triangle(n)\mid a_{i,j}=0 \text{ for } i\leqslant j\}$. For $A\in\widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)$, write $A=A^+ + A^- + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda)$ with $A^+\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)$, $A^-\in\Theta_\triangle^-(n)$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_\triangle^n$. We fix a total order on $\mathcal{L}^+,\mathcal{L}^-$ and define for $A\in\Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)$

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(A^+)} &= \prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^+} u^+_{a_{i,j}E^\triangle_{i,j},1} = \prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^+}(a_{i,j}E^\triangle_{i,j})\{\mathbf{0}\}\in\mathcal{U}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n),\\ F^{(A^-)} &= \prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^-} u^-_{a_{i,j}E^\triangle_{j,i},1} = \prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^-}(a_{i,j}E^\triangle_{i,j})\{\mathbf{0}\}\in\mathcal{U}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5.1}$$

Corollary 4.6. *Let $A\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)$. Then the following triangular relation holds in $\mathcal{U}^+_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$:*

$$E^{(A)} = u^+_{A,1} + \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)\\ \sigma(B)<\sigma(A)}} f_{B,A}u^+_{B,1}$$

where $f_{B,A}\in\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, the set $\{E^{(A)}\mid A\in\Theta_\triangle^+(n)\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{U}^+_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. A similar result holds for $\mathcal{U}^-_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

We are now ready to generalize 4.4 to a little bit more general setting. We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $A_i\in\Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant m)$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{N}_\triangle^n$. Then we have*

$$A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\}\binom{H}{\lambda} - \binom{H}{\lambda}A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\}\in\mathcal{X}^\lambda A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\},$$

where $\mathcal{X}^\lambda=\mathrm{span}_\mathbb{Z}\{\binom{H}{\delta}\mid\delta\in\mathbb{N}_\triangle^n,\,\delta<\lambda\}$.

Proof. We argue by induction on m. If $m=1$ then by 4.2 we have

$$A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}\binom{H}{\lambda} = A_1\{\lambda\} + \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\\ \delta<\lambda}}\binom{\mathrm{co}(A_1)}{\lambda-\delta}A_1\{\delta\}. \tag{4.7.1}$$

This together with (4.2.1) implies that

$$A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}\binom{H}{\lambda} - \binom{H}{\lambda}A_1\{\mathbf{0}\} = \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\\ \delta<\lambda}}\left(\binom{\mathrm{co}(A_1)}{\lambda-\delta} - \binom{\mathrm{ro}(A_1)}{\lambda-\delta}\right)A_1\{\delta\}\in\mathcal{X}^\lambda A_1\{\mathbf{0}\} \tag{4.7.2}$$

Assume now that $m>1$. By the inductive hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}A_2\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\}\binom{H}{\lambda} - A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}\binom{H}{\lambda}A_2\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\} &\in A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}\mathcal{X}^\lambda A_2\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\}\\ &\subseteq \mathcal{X}^\lambda A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}A_2\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.7.2) we conclude that $A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}A_2\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\}\binom{H}{\lambda} - \binom{H}{\lambda}A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}A_2\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\}\in\mathcal{X}^\lambda A_1\{\mathbf{0}\}A_2\{\mathbf{0}\}\cdots A_m\{\mathbf{0}\}$. The lemma is proved. □

Proposition 4.8. *For $A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n$ we have the following triangular relation in $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$:*

$$E^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}F^{(A^-)} = A\{\lambda\} + \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\\ \delta<\lambda}} g_{A,\lambda}^{\delta} A\{\delta\} + \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta_\triangle^\pm(n),\,\delta\in\mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\\ \sigma(B)<\sigma(A)}} g_{A,\lambda}^{B,\delta} B\{\delta\},$$

where $g_{A,\lambda}^{\delta}, g_{A,\lambda}^{B,\delta} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_A^\lambda = \mathrm{span}_\mathbb{Z}\{A\{\delta\} \mid \delta \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n, \delta < \lambda\}$ and $\mathcal{X}^A = \mathrm{span}_\mathbb{Z}\{B\{\delta\} \mid B \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n), \delta \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n, \sigma(B) < \sigma(A)\}$. Combining 4.4 and 4.7 implies that

$$E^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}F^{(A^-)} = \left(\binom{H}{\lambda} + f\right)E^{(A^+)}F^{(A^-)} = \left(\binom{H}{\lambda} + f\right)(A\{\mathbf{0}\} + g)$$

where $f \in \mathcal{X}^\lambda$ and $g \in \mathcal{X}^A$. From 4.2 and (4.2.1), we see that $f(A\{\mathbf{0}\}+g) \in \mathcal{X}_A^\lambda + \mathcal{X}^A$, $\binom{H}{\lambda}g \in \mathcal{X}^A$ and $\binom{H}{\lambda}A\{\mathbf{0}\} - A\{\lambda\} \in \mathcal{X}_A^\lambda$. Consequently, we have $E^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}F^{(A^-)} - A\{\lambda\} \in \mathcal{X}_A^\lambda + \mathcal{X}^A$. The proposition is proved. □

Theorem 4.9. *Each of the following sets forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$:*

(1) $\mathfrak{M} = \{E^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}F^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n),\, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\}$;
(2) $\mathfrak{B} = \{A\{\lambda\} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n),\, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\}$;
(3) $\mathfrak{B}' = \{A\{\mathbf{0}\}\binom{H}{\lambda} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n),\, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\}$.

In particular, $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is generated by the elements $u^+_{kE^\triangle_{i,j},1}$, $u^-_{kE^\triangle_{i,j},1}$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i < j$.

Proof. Combining 4.1 and 4.6 implies that the set $\mathfrak{M}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. The remaining assertion follows from (4.7.1) and 4.8. □

By (4.0.2) and (4.4.1) we have the following result.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{i} \neq \bar{j}$. Then*

$$(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^{(k)} = (kE_{i,j}^\triangle)\{\mathbf{0}\} = \begin{cases} u^+_{kE^\triangle_{i,j}} & \text{if } i < j,\\ u^-_{kE^\triangle_{j,i}} & \text{if } i > j,\end{cases}$$

where $(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^{(k)} = \frac{(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^k}{k!}$.

Remark 4.11. (1) From 4.9 and 4.10, we see that $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is generated by the elements $(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^{(k)}$, $u^+_{kE^\triangle_{i,i+mn},1}$, $u^-_{kE^\triangle_{i,i+mn},1}$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{i} \neq \bar{j}$, $m > 0$. It should be noted that for $k \geqslant 2$, $\frac{(E^\triangle_{i,i+mn})^k}{k!} \notin \mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ in general.

(2) Let $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by the elements $(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^{(k)}$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$ with $i \neq j$. Then the set $\{E^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}F^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm(n),\, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\}$ is the PBW-basis of $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ constructed by Kostant [24], where $\Theta^\pm(n)$ is the subset of $\Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)$

consisting of all $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n)$ such that $a_{i,j} = 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $j \notin [1, n]$. So we may regard the set $\mathfrak{M}$ as the PBW-basis of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

5. The Garland integral form of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$

Let $\mathscr{S} = \mathbb{Q}[X_i \mid i \geqslant 1]$ be the polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ in infinitely many indeterminates. Following [19], we define a derivation $D_+ : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{S}$ by setting

$$D_+(X_i) = iX_{i+1}$$

for $i \geqslant 1$. Let $\Lambda_0 = 1$. For $k \geqslant 1$ let

$$\Lambda_k = \frac{(D_+ + L_{X_1})^{k-1}}{k!}(X_1).$$

For $x \in \mathscr{S}$ define $L_x : \mathscr{S} \to \mathscr{S}$ by $L_x(y) = xy$, $y \in \mathscr{S}$.

Lemma 5.1 ([19, 5.2])**.** *For $k \geqslant 1$ we have*

$$\frac{(L_{X_1} + D_+)^k}{k!} = \sum_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant k} L_{\Lambda_s} \frac{D_+^{k-s}}{(k-s)!}.$$

Corollary 5.2. *For $k \geqslant 1$ we have*

$$\Lambda_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1} \Lambda_s X_{k-s}.$$

Proof. Clearly we have $D_+^s(X_1) = (s!)X_{s+1}$ for $s \geqslant 0$. Thus by 5.1 we have

$$\Lambda_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1} L_{\Lambda_s} \frac{D_+^{k-1-s}}{(k-1-s)!}(X_1) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1} \Lambda_s X_{k-s}$$

as required. □

For $i, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $l \neq 0$ we define an algebra homomorphism

$$\Psi_{i,l} : \mathscr{S} \to \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \tag{5.2.1}$$

by $\Psi_{i,l}(X_m) = E^{\triangle}_{i,i+mln}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by the elements $(E^{\triangle}_{i,j})^{(k)}$, $\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k)$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{i} \neq \bar{j}$, $l \neq 0$. We will prove in 5.8 that $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ coincides with $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

For $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\mathscr{X}^{(k)}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{(E^{\triangle}_{i,j})^k}{k!} & \text{if } \bar{i} \neq \bar{j} \\ \Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k) & \text{if } j = i + ln \text{ for some } l \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

We fix a total order on $\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-$ and define for $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n)$

$$\mathscr{E}^{(A^+)} = \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}^+} \mathscr{X}^{(a_{i,j})}_{i,j}, \qquad \mathscr{F}^{(A^-)} = \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}^-} \mathscr{X}^{(a_{i,j})}_{i,j}. \tag{5.2.2}$$

Theorem 5.3 ([19, Th. 5.8]). *The set* $\{\mathscr{E}^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}\mathscr{F}^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\}$ *forms a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-basis of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\Lambda^+(k) = \{\lambda = (\lambda_s)_{s\geqslant 1} \mid \lambda_s \in \mathbb{N}\ \forall s,\ \sum_{s\geqslant 1}\lambda_s = k\}$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k) = \{\mathbf{b} = (b_s)_{s\geqslant 1} \mid b_s \in \mathbb{N}\ \forall s,\ \sum_{s\geqslant 1} sb_s = k\}$. Then there is a bijective map

$$\vartheta_k : \Lambda^+(k) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k)$$

sending λ to $\mathbf{b}$, where $b_s = |\{j \geqslant 1 \mid \lambda_j = s\}|$.

For $i, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l \neq 0$ let

$$A_m^{(i,l)} = \begin{cases} E^\triangle_{i,i+mln} & \text{if } m \geqslant 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(k)$ let

$$A_\lambda^{(i,l)} = \sum_{s\geqslant 1} A_{\lambda_s}^{(i,l)}.$$

Furthermore, for $\mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k)$ let

$$\widetilde{A}_\mathbf{b}^{(i,l)} = \sum_{s\geqslant 1} b_s A_s^{(i,l)}.$$

Then we have $\widetilde{A}_\mathbf{b}^{(i,l)} = A^{(i,l)}_{\vartheta_k^{-1}(\mathbf{b})}$ for $\mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k)$.

For $s \geqslant 1$ let $\boldsymbol{e}_s = (\delta_{s,j})_{j\geqslant 1}$. By [5, Cor. 6.3.5] we have the following result.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geqslant 1$ *and* $i, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ *with* $l \neq 0$. *Then*

$$E^\triangle_{i,i+mln}[\mathbf{0}]\widetilde{A}_\mathbf{b}^{(i,l)}[\mathbf{0}] = \sum_{s\geqslant 0}(b_{s+m}+1)\widetilde{A}^{(i,l)}_{\mathbf{b}+\boldsymbol{e}_{m+s}-\boldsymbol{e}_s}[\mathbf{0}]$$

for $\mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k)$, *where* $\boldsymbol{e}_0 = 0$.

Proposition 5.5. *For* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $i, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ *with* $l \neq 0$ *we have*

$$\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^+(k)} A_\lambda^{(i,l)}[\mathbf{0}] = \begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^+(k)} u^+_{A_\lambda^{(i,l)},1} & \text{if } l > 0, \\ \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda^+(k)} u^-_{{}^t(A_\lambda^{(i,l)}),1} & \text{if } l < 0, \end{cases}$$

where ${}^t(A_\lambda^{(i,l)})$ *is the transpose of* $A_\lambda^{(i,l)}$. *In particular, we have*

$$\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k) = \begin{cases} u^+_{kE_{i,i+ln},1} + f_1 & \text{if } l > 0, \\ u^-_{kE_{i+ln,i},1} + f_2 & \text{if } l < 0, \end{cases}$$

where f_1 *stands for a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-linear combination of* $u^+_{B,1}$ *with* $B \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)$ *such that* $\sigma(B) < k$ *and* f_2 *stands for a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-linear combination of* $u^-_{B,1}$ *with* $B \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)$ *such that* $\sigma(B) < k$.

Proof. We argue by induction on k. The case where $k = 0, 1$ is trivial. Assume now that $k \geqslant 2$. By the induction hypothesis and 5.2 we have

$$\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1 \\ \mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(s)}} E^{\triangle}_{i,i+(k-s)ln}[\mathbf{0}] \widetilde{A}^{(i,l)}_{\mathbf{b}}[\mathbf{0}].$$

It follows from 5.4 that

$$\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1 \\ \mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(s),\, j \geqslant 0}} (b_{j+k-s}+1) \widetilde{A}_{\mathbf{b}+\boldsymbol{e}_{j+k-s}-\boldsymbol{e}_j}[\mathbf{0}] = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k)} g_{\mathbf{c}} \widetilde{A}_{\mathbf{c}}[\mathbf{0}],$$

where

$$g_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1,\, \mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(s),\, j \geqslant 0 \\ \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{e}_{j+k-s} - \boldsymbol{e}_j}} (b_{j+k-s}+1) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1 \\ j \geqslant 0}} c_{j+k-s}.$$

If $\mathbf{c} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k)$ then $c_u = 0$ for $u > k$. This implies that

$$g_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{\substack{u \geqslant 1,\, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1 \\ u-k+s \geqslant 0}} c_u = \sum_{1 \leqslant u \leqslant k} \Big(\sum_{k-u \leqslant s \leqslant k-1} c_u \Big) = \sum_{1 \leqslant u \leqslant k} u c_u = k$$

for $\mathbf{c} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k)$. Thus we have $\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}^+(k)} \widetilde{A}_{\mathbf{c}}[\mathbf{0}]$. The proposition is proved. □

By [29, Cor. 4.1.1] (see also [5, Lem. 6.1.3]) we have the following result.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $A_s = (a^{(s)}_{i,j}) \in \Theta^+_{\triangle}(n)$ $(1 \leqslant s \leqslant t)$. Then*

$$u^+_{A_1,1} \cdots u^+_{A_t,1} = \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ i < j,\, j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \frac{a_{i,j}!}{a^{(1)}_{i,j}! a^{(2)}_{i,j}! \cdots a^{(t)}_{i,j}!} u^+_{A,1} + f$$

where $A = \sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant t} A_s$ and f is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of $u^+_{B,1}$ with $B \in \Theta^+_{\triangle}(n)$ and $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$.

Lemma 5.7. (1) *For $A \in \Theta^+_{\triangle}(n)$ we have*

$$\mathscr{E}^{(A)} = u^+_{A,1} + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta^+_{\triangle}(n) \\ \sigma(B) < \sigma(A)}} g_{B,A} u^+_{B,1}$$

where $g_{B,A} \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, the set $\{\mathscr{E}^{(A)} \mid A \in \Theta^+_{\triangle}(n)\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{U}^+_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

(2) *For $A \in \Theta^-_{\triangle}(n)$ we have*

$$\mathscr{F}^{(A)} = u^-_{t_A,1} + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta^+_{\triangle}(n) \\ \sigma(B) < \sigma(A)}} h_{B,A} u^-_{B,1}$$

where $h_{B,A} \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, the set $\{\mathscr{F}^{(A)} \mid A \in \Theta^-_{\triangle}(n)\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

Proof. Assume $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{Y}_k = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{u_{B,1}^+ \mid B \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n), \sigma(B) < k\}$. By 5.5, we have

$$\mathscr{X}_{i,i+ln}^{(a_{i,i+ln})} = \Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_{a_{i,i+ln}}) = u^+_{a_{i,i+ln}E^\triangle_{i,i+ln},1} + f_{i,l}$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $l \geqslant 1$, where $f_{i,l} \in \mathcal{Y}_{a_{i,i+ln}}$. It follows from 5.6 that $\mathscr{E}^{(A)} = E^{(A)} + f_1$ where $f_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(A)}$. By 4.6 we have $E^{(A)} = u^+_{A,1} + f_2$, where $f_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(A)}$. Consequently, we have $\mathscr{E}^{(A)} = u^+_{A,1} + f$, where $f = f_1 + f_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma(A)}$. The statement (1) is proved. The statement (2) can be proved similarly. □

Combining 5.3 and 5.7, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.8. *The set* $\mathfrak{G} = \{\mathscr{E}^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}\mathscr{F}^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\triangle^n\}$ *forms a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-basis of* $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. *In particular we have* $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

6. The hyperalgebra $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and its subalgebras $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$

Let $\mathcal{k}$ be a commutative ring with unity. Assume $p = \mathrm{char}\mathcal{k} > 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{k}.$$

We shall denote the images of $(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^{(k)}$, $\binom{H_i}{k}$, $A\{\lambda\}$, etc. in $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ by the same letters. We will refer to $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ as the hyperalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$.

For $h \geqslant 1$ let $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ be the $\mathcal{k}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by the elements $u^+_{kE^\triangle_{i,j},1}$, $u^-_{kE^\triangle_{i,j},1}$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i < j$. By 4.10, we know that $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ is generated by the elements $(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^{(k)}$, $u^+_{kE^\triangle_{i,i+ln},1}$, $u^-_{kE^\triangle_{i,i+ln},1}$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{i} \neq \bar{j}$, $l > 0$. Clearly we have $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and

$$\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \bigcup_{h \geqslant 1} \mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h. \tag{6.0.1}$$

Remark 6.1. Let $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_h$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ generated by the elements $u^+_{kE^\triangle_{i,j},1}$, $u^-_{kE^\triangle_{i,j},1}$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$ with $i < j$. From 4.10 we see that $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_h$ is generated by the elements $(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^{(k)}$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$ with $i \neq j$. The algebra $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ is the affine analogue of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_h$. The algebra $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_h$ is introduced by Humphreys in [22] and it plays an important role in the modular representation theory of the algebraic group of type A.

We will construct several $\mathcal{k}$-bases for $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ in 6.6. Before proving 6.6, we need some preparation. The following simple lemma will be needed later(cf. [17, Lem. 3.2]).

Lemma 6.2. (1) *The following identity holds in* $\mathcal{k}$: $\binom{t+p^h}{s} = \binom{t}{s}$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $0 \leqslant s < p^h$.

(2) *Assume* $0 \leqslant a, b < p^h$ *and* $a + b \geqslant p^h$. *Then* $\binom{a+b}{a} = 0$ *in* $\mathcal{k}$.

Let $\mathfrak{u}^0_\triangle(n)_h$ be the $\mathcal{k}$-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ generated by $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $0 \leqslant k < p^h$. For $h \geqslant 1$ let

$$\mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle \mid 0 \leqslant \lambda_i < p^h, \forall i\}.$$

Lemma 6.3. *The set* $\mathfrak{M}^0_h = \{\binom{H}{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\}$ *forms a* $\mathcal{k}$*-basis for* $\mathfrak{u}^0_\triangle(n)_h$.

Proof. Let $V = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{k} \mathfrak{M}^0_h$. From [26, 2.3(g8)], we see that

$$\binom{H_i}{t'}\binom{H_i}{t} = \binom{t+t'}{t}\binom{H_i}{t+t'} - \sum_{0<j\leqslant t'} (-1)^j \binom{t+j-1}{j}\binom{H_i}{t'-j}\binom{H_i}{t}$$

for $0 \leqslant t, t' < p^h$. By 6.2 we have $\binom{t+t'}{t}\binom{H_i}{t+t'} = 0$ for $0 \leqslant t, t' < p^h$ with $t + t' \geqslant p^h$. Consequently, by induction on t' we see that $\binom{H_i}{t'}\binom{H_i}{t} \in V$ for $0 \leqslant t, t' < p^h$. It follows that $\mathfrak{u}^0_\triangle(n)_h = V$. Furthermore, by 4.1 the set $\mathfrak{M}^0_h$ is linearly independent. The lemma is proved. □

For $h \geqslant 1$ let

$$\Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h = \{A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n) \mid 0 \leqslant a_{s,t} < p^h, \forall s \neq t\}.$$

Let

$$V_h = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{k}\{A\{\lambda\} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n).$$

Lemma 6.4. *Let* $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $i \neq j$. *Assume* $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ *and* $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$. *Then we have* $(kE^\triangle_{i,j})\{\mathbf{0}\}A\{\lambda\} \in V_h$ *and* $\binom{H}{\mu}A\{\lambda\} \in V_h$.

Proof. We use 4.3 to prove $(kE^\triangle_{i,j})\{\mathbf{0}\}A\{\lambda\} \in V_h$. If $A^{(\alpha)} \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)\backslash\Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ for some $\alpha \in \Lambda(\infty, k)$ then $a_{i,t}+\alpha_t-\delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\alpha_{t+j-i} \geqslant p^h$ for some $t \neq i$. Since $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ we have $0 \leqslant a_{i,t}+\alpha_t-\delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\alpha_{t+j-i}-p^h \leqslant \alpha_t + a_{i,t} - p^h < \alpha_t$. Furthermore we have $0 \leqslant \alpha_t \leqslant k < p^h$. It follows from 6.2 that

$$\binom{a_{i,t} + \alpha_t - \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\alpha_{t+j-i}}{\alpha_t} = \binom{a_{i,t} + \alpha_t - \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\alpha_{t+j-i} - p^h}{\alpha_t} = 0$$

in $\mathcal{k}$. In addition, if $\alpha_i \boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_i + \delta \notin \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$ for some $\alpha \in \Lambda(\infty, k)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$ with $\delta \leqslant \lambda$, then $\alpha_i + \delta_i \geqslant p^h$. Since $k < p^h$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$, we have $\alpha_i \leqslant k < p^h$ and $\delta_i \leqslant \lambda_i < p^h$. This together with 6.2 implies that $\binom{\alpha_i+\delta_i}{\alpha_i} = 0$ in $\mathcal{k}$. Consequently, $(kE^\triangle_{i,j})\{\mathbf{0}\}A\{\lambda\} \in V_h$.

If $\lambda + \delta \notin \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$ with $\delta \leqslant \mu$ then $\lambda_t + \delta_t \geqslant p^h$ for some t. Since $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$ we have $\lambda_t < p^h$ and $\delta_t \leqslant \mu_t < p^h$. It follows from 6.2 that $\binom{\lambda_t+\delta_t}{\lambda_t} = 0$ in $\mathcal{k}$. Hence, by 4.2 we conclude that $\binom{H}{\mu}A\{\lambda\} \in V_h$. □

For $h \geqslant 1$ let $\mathfrak{u}^+_\triangle(n)_h$ (resp. $\mathfrak{u}^-_\triangle(n)_h$) be the $\mathcal{k}$-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ generated by the elements $u^+_{kE^\triangle_{i,j},1}$ (resp. $u^-_{kE^\triangle_{i,j},1}$) for $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i < j$. Let $\Theta^+_\triangle(n)_h = \{A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n) \mid 0 \leqslant a_{s,t} < p^h, \forall s \neq t\}$ and $\Theta^-_\triangle(n)_h = \{A \in \Theta^-_\triangle(n) \mid 0 \leqslant a_{s,t} < p^h, \forall s \neq t\}$.

Lemma 6.5. *Each of the following set forms a* $\mathcal{k}$*-basis for* $\mathfrak{u}^+_\triangle(n)_h$:

(1) $\mathfrak{M}^+_h := \{E^{(A)} \mid A \in \Theta^+_\triangle(n)_h\}$;

(2) $\mathfrak{C}_h^+ := \{u_{A,1}^+ \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)_h\}$;
(3) $\mathfrak{G}_h^+ := \{\mathscr{E}^{(A)} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)_h\}$.

A similar result holds for $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle^-(n)_h$.

Proof. Let $V_h^+ = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{C}_h^+$. Combining 4.5 and 6.4, we conclude that $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle^+(n)_h \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_\triangle^+(n)_h V_h^+ \subseteq V_h^+$. This together with 4.6 implies that for $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)_h$ we have

$$E^{(A)} = u_{A,1}^+ + f$$

where f is a $\mathcal{K}$-linear combination of $u_{B,1}^+$ with $B \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)_h$ such that $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$. It follows that $V_h^+ = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{M}_h^+ = \mathfrak{u}_\triangle^+(n)_h$. This together with 5.5 implies that $\mathscr{E}^{(A)} \in V_h^+$ for $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)_h$. It follows from 5.7 that for $A \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)_h$ we have

$$\mathscr{E}^{(A)} = u_{A,1}^+ + g,$$

where g stands for a $\mathcal{K}$-linear combination of $u_{B,1}^+$ with $B \in \Theta_\triangle^+(n)_h$ such that $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$. So $V_h^+ = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{G}_h^+$. Now the assertion follows from 4.9 and 5.8. □

Proposition 6.6. *Each of the following set forms a* $\mathcal{K}$*-basis for* $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$:

(1) $\mathfrak{M}_h := \{E^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}F^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\}$;
(2) $\mathfrak{C}_h := \{u_{A^+,1}^+\binom{H}{\lambda}u_{t(A^-),1}^- \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\}$;
(3) $\mathfrak{G}_h := \{\mathscr{E}^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}\mathscr{F}^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\}$;
(4) $\mathfrak{B}_h := \{A\{\lambda\} \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\}$.

Proof. By 4.1, 4.9 and 5.8, we know that each of the sets (1)-(4) is linear independent. Furthermore, by 6.5 we have $\operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{M}_h = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{C}_h = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{G}_h$. Thus it is enough to prove that $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h = V_h = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{M}_h$, where $V_h = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{B}_h$. Combining (4.0.2) with 6.4 implies that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h V_h \subseteq V_h. \tag{6.6.1}$$

Furthermore, by 4.8, for $A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$ we have

$$E^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}F^{(A^-)} = A\{\lambda\} + f + g,$$

where f is a $\mathcal{K}$-linear combination of $A\{\delta\}$ with $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$ such that $\delta < \lambda$, and g is a $\mathcal{K}$-linear combination of $B\{\delta\}$ with $B \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle$ such that $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$. From (6.6.1) we see that f must be a linear combination of $A\{\delta\}$ with $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$ such that $\delta < \lambda$, and g must be a $\mathcal{K}$-linear combination of $B\{\delta\}$ with $B \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$ such that $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$. It follows that $V_h = \operatorname{span}_\mathcal{K} \mathfrak{M}_h \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$. The proposition is proved. □

Remark 6.7. From 6.6(3) we see that $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ is generated by the elements $(E_{i,j}^\triangle)^{(k)}$, $\Psi_{i,l}(\Lambda_k)$ and $\binom{H_i}{k}$ for $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{i} \neq \bar{j}$, $l \neq 0$, where $\Psi_{i,l}$ is given in (5.2.1).

Combining 6.3, 6.5 and 6.6 yields the following result.

Corollary 6.8. *We have* $\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h = \mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle^+(n)_h\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle^0(n)_h\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle^-(n)_h \cong \mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle^+(n)_h \otimes \mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle^0(n)_h \otimes \mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle^-(n)_h$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Again, by abuse of notation, we shall denote the images of $[A]_1, A\{\lambda, r\}$, etc. in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{K}$ by the same letters. In 2.2, the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is embedded into $\prod_{r\geqslant 0}\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$. We will prove in 6.9 that the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{K}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h$ can be realized as subalgebras of $\prod_{r\geqslant 0}\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{K}$.

Recall the map $\xi_r : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ defined in (2.1.3). It is proved in [15, 6.7] that $\xi_r(\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)) = \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the restriction of ξ_r to $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ gives a surjective $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra homomorphism $\xi_r : \mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Z}$. By tensoring with $\mathcal{K}$, we get a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$\xi_{r,\mathcal{K}} := \xi_r \otimes id : \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{K}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{K}. \tag{6.8.1}$$

The maps $\xi_{r,\mathcal{K}}$ induce an algebra homomorphism

$$\xi_\mathcal{K} = \prod_{r\geqslant 0}\xi_{r,\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{K}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \prod_{r\geqslant 0}\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{K}. \tag{6.8.2}$$

Theorem 6.9. *The algebra homomorphism* $\xi_\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{K}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \prod_{r\geqslant 0}\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{K}$ *is injective. Furthermore we have* $\xi_\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{K}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)) = \mathrm{span}_\mathcal{K}\{(A\{\lambda,r\})_{r\geqslant 0} \mid A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n\}$ *and* $\xi_\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h) = \mathrm{span}_\mathcal{K}\{(A\{\lambda,r\})_{r\geqslant 0} \mid A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\vartriangle p^h}^n\}$.

Proof. Clearly, we have

$$\xi_\mathcal{K}(A\{\lambda\}) = (A\{\lambda,r\})_{r\geqslant 0} \tag{6.9.1}$$

for $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n$. From 4.9 we see that the set $\{A\{\lambda\} \mid A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n\}$ forms a $\mathcal{K}$-basis for $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{K}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Thus it is enough to prove that the set $\{(A\{\lambda,r\})_{r\geqslant 0} \mid A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n\}$ is $\mathcal{K}$-linear independent.

Suppose

$$\sum_{\substack{A\in\Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)\\ \lambda\in\mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n}} f_{A,\lambda}(A\{\lambda,r\})_{r\geqslant 0} = 0,$$

where $f_{A,\lambda} \in \mathcal{K}$. Then for any $r \geqslant 0$ we have

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{A\in\Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)\\ \lambda\in\mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n}} f_{A,\lambda}A\{\lambda,r\} = \sum_{\substack{A\in\Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)\\ \mu\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r-\sigma(A))}} \left(\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n} f_{A,\lambda}\binom{\mu}{\lambda}\right)[A+\mathrm{diag}(\mu)]_1$$

in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{K}$. This implies that $\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n} f_{A,\lambda}\binom{\mu}{\lambda} = 0$, for $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$, $\mu \in \Lambda_\vartriangle(n, r-\sigma(A))$ with $r \geqslant \sigma(A)$. For $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$, we have $\mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n = \bigcup_{r\geqslant\sigma(A)}\Lambda_\vartriangle(n, r-\sigma(A))$. It follows that

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n} f_{A,\lambda}\binom{\mu}{\lambda} = 0$$

for $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n$. For $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$ let $X_A = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n \mid f_{A,\lambda} \neq 0\}$. If $X_A \neq \varnothing$ for some $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$, let ν be the minimal element in X_A with respect to the order relation $\leqslant$ on $\mathbb{Z}_\vartriangle^n$ defined in (1.1.1). Since ν is minimal, we have $f_{A,\lambda} = 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n$ with $\nu > \lambda$. This implies that

$$f_{A,\nu} = f_{A,\nu} + \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n \\ \nu > \lambda}} f_{A,\lambda} \binom{\nu}{\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n} f_{A,\lambda} \binom{\nu}{\lambda} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Consequently, $X_A = \varnothing$ for any $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$. The theorem is proved. □

7. A realization of $\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h$

In this section we will first construct the algebra $\mathscr{K}_\vartriangle'(n)_h$. The algebra $\mathscr{K}_\vartriangle'(n)_h$ is the affine analogue of the algebra $\mathscr{K}'$ constructed by Beilinson–Lusztig–MacPherson in [1, §6]. We will prove in 7.3 that $\mathscr{K}_\vartriangle'(n)_h$ is a realization of $\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h$.

The stabilization property of the multiplication of affine quantum Schur algebras was established in [11, Prop. 6.3]. Using this property, a certain algebra $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ over $\mathcal{Z}$ (without 1), with basis $\{[A] \mid A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)\}$ was constructed in [11, §6].

Let $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z} = \mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is regarded as a $\mathcal{Z}$-module by specializing v to 1. By [18, Prop. 6.2] and [11, Lem. 6.1], we know that $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{Z}(n)$ defined in [18, §6]. For $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)$ let

$$[A]_1 = [A] \otimes 1 \in \mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the set $\{[A]_1 \mid A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z}$. Note that if $A, B \in \widetilde{\Theta}(n)$ is such that $\mathrm{co}(B) \neq \mathrm{ro}(A)$ then $[B]_1 \cdot [A]_1 = 0$ in $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z}$. By 3.5, 4.4 and the definition of $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z}$, we have the following result.

Lemma 7.1. (1) *Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \neq j$. Assume $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)$ and $\lambda = \mathrm{ro}(A)$. Then the following formulas hold in $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &[kE_{i,j}^\vartriangle + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - k\boldsymbol{e}_j^\vartriangle)]_1 \cdot [A]_1 \\ = &\sum_{\substack{\delta \in \Lambda(\infty,k) \\ a_{j,t} - \delta_t + \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\delta_{t+i-j} \geqslant 0,\ \forall t \neq j}} \prod_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \binom{a_{i,t} + \delta_t - \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\delta_{t+j-i}}{\delta_t} \left[A + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_t (E_{i,t}^\vartriangle - E_{j,t}^\vartriangle)\right]_1. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *For $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)$, we have the following triangular relation in $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} [a_{i,j}E_{i,j}^\vartriangle + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda^{(i,j)})]_1 = [A]_1 + \sum_{\substack{B \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n) \\ \sigma(B^+ + B^-) < \sigma(A^+ + A^-)}} h_{B,A}[B]_1 \quad (h_{B,A} \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the products are taken with respect to any fixed total order on $\mathcal{L}$ and $\lambda^{(i,j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_\vartriangle^n$ are uniquely determined by A and the fixed total order on $\mathcal{L}$. In particular, the algebra $\mathsf{K}_\vartriangle(n)_\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the elements $[kE_{i,j}^\vartriangle + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \neq j$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\vartriangle^n$.

Let $\mathsf{K}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k} = \mathsf{K}_\triangle(n)_\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathcal{k}$. We shall denote the images of $[A]_1$ in $\mathsf{K}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$ by the same letters. Let $\mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$ be the $\mathcal{k}$-submodule of $\mathsf{K}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$ spanned by the elements $[A]_1$ with $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)_h$, where $\widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)_h = \{A = (a_{i,j}) \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n) \mid a_{i,j} < p^h, \forall i \neq j\}$. The following result is the affine analogue of [1, 6.2].

Proposition 7.2. (1) *$\mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$ is a subalgebra of $\mathsf{K}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$. It is generated by $[kE_{i,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1$ with $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \neq j$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle$.*

(2) *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle$. The map $\tau_\lambda : \mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h \to \mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$ given by $[A]_1 \to [A + p^h \,\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1$ is an algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)_h$, $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $i \neq j$ and $\lambda = \mathrm{ro}(A)$. Let $B = kE^\triangle_{i,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - k\boldsymbol{e}^\triangle_j)$. Assume that $A + \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta_t(E_{i,t} - E_{j,t}) \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n) \backslash \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)_h$ for some $\delta \in \Lambda(\infty, k)$. Then $a_{i,t} + \delta_t - \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\delta_{t+j-i} \geqslant p^h$ for some $t \neq i$. Since $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)_h$, $\delta \in \Lambda(\infty, k)$ and $k < p^h$, we have $a_{i,t} + \delta_t - \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\delta_{t+j-i} - p^h \leqslant a_{i,t} + \delta_t - p^h < \delta_t$ and $\delta_t \leqslant k < p^h$. It follows from 6.2 that

$$\binom{a_{i,t} + \delta_t - \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\delta_{t+j-i}}{\delta_t} = \binom{a_{i,t} + \delta_t - \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}\delta_{t+j-i} - p^h}{\delta_t} = 0$$

in $\mathcal{k}$. Thus by 7.1(1) we have

$$[B]_1 \cdot [A]_1 \in \mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h. \tag{7.2.1}$$

We will show by induction on $\sigma(A^+ + A^-)$ that $[A] \cdot [A'] \in \mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$ for any $A' \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)_h$. The case $\sigma(A^+ + A^-) = 0$ is obvious. Assume now that $\sigma(A^+ + A^-) > 0$ and that our statement is already known for $C \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)_h$ with $\sigma(C^+ + C^-) < \sigma(A^+ + A^-)$. By 7.1(2) and (7.2.1) we have

$$\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}} [a_{i,j}E^\triangle_{i,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda^{(i,j)})]_1 = [A]_1 + f.$$

where f is a $\mathcal{k}$-linear combination of $[C]_1$ with $C \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)_h$ and $\sigma(C^+ + C^-) < \sigma(A^+ + A^-)$. By the induction hypothesis we have $f[A']_1 \in \mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$. Furthermore, by (7.2.1) we have $\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}}[a_{i,j}E^\triangle_{i,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda^{(i,j)})]_1 \cdot [A']_1 \in \mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$. It follows that $[A]_1 \cdot [A']_1 \in \mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$. Thus (1) is proved.

From 7.1(1) we see that $\tau_\lambda([A'']_1 \cdot [A]_1) = \tau_\lambda([A'']_1)\tau_\lambda([A]_1)$ for any A'' of the form B as above. Since $\mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$ is generated by elements like $[B]_1$ above, we conclude that τ_λ is an algebra homomorphism. □

Let $\widetilde{\Theta}'_\triangle(n)_h$ be the set of all matrices $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{i,j} < p^h$ $(i \neq j)$ and $a_{i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^h\mathbb{Z}$ such that

(a) $a_{i,j} = a_{i+n,j+n}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(b) for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, both sets $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{i,j} \neq 0\}$ and $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{j,i} \neq 0\}$ are finite.

Let

$$\mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h} = \{(\overline{\lambda_i})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mid \overline{\lambda_i} \in \mathbb{Z}/p^h\mathbb{Z},\ \overline{\lambda_i} = \overline{\lambda_{i+n}},\ \forall i\}.$$

Let $^- : \mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle \to \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$ be the map defined by $\overline{(\lambda_i)_i} = (\overline{\lambda_i})_i$. There is a natural map

$$pr : \widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)_h \to \widetilde{\Theta}'_\vartriangle(n)_h$$

sending $A + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda)$ to $A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)$ for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle$. For $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)$ and $\bar\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$, let $\mathrm{ro}(A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)) = \big(\sum_{j\neq i} \overline{a_{i,j}} + \overline{\lambda_i}\big)_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$ and $\mathrm{co}(A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)) = \big(\sum_{i\neq j} \overline{a_{i,j}} + \lambda_j\big)_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$.

Let $\mathscr{K}'_\vartriangle(n)_h$ be the free $\mathcal{k}$-module with basis $\{[A]_1 \mid A \in \widetilde{\Theta}'_\vartriangle(n)_h\}$. We shall define an algebra structure on $\mathscr{K}'_\vartriangle(n)_h$ as follows. Let $A, A' \in \widetilde{\Theta}'_\vartriangle(n)_h$. If $\mathrm{co}(A) \neq \mathrm{ro}(A')$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$, then we define $[A]_1\cdot[A']_1$ to be zero. Assume now that $\mathrm{co}(A) = \mathrm{ro}(A')$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$. Then there exists $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{A}' \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)_h$ such that $pr(\widetilde{A}) = A$, $pr(\widetilde{A}') = A'$ and $\mathrm{co}(\widetilde{A}) = \mathrm{ro}(\widetilde{A}')$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle$. By 7.2(1), we may write

$$[\widetilde{A}]_1 \cdot [\widetilde{A}']_1 = \sum_{\widetilde{A}''\in\widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)_h} \rho_{\widetilde{A}''}[\widetilde{A}'']_1$$

(product in $\mathscr{K}_\vartriangle(n)_h$), where $\rho_{\widetilde{A}''} \in \mathcal{k}$. We define $[A]_1\cdot[A']_1$ to be $\sum_{\widetilde{A}''\in\widetilde{\Theta}_\vartriangle(n)_h} \rho_{\widetilde{A}''}[pr(\widetilde{A}'')]_1$. Using 7.2(2), one can easily prove that the product is well defined. It is easy to check that the product is associative. Consequently, $\mathscr{K}'_\vartriangle(n)_h$ becomes an associative algebra over $\mathcal{k}$.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 7.3. *There is a $\mathcal{k}$-algebra isomorphism $\varphi_h : \mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h \to \mathscr{K}'_\vartriangle(n)_h$ satisfying*

$$A\{\lambda\} \mapsto \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} [A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\mu)]_1$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$.

Proof. Since, by 6.6, the set $\{A\{\lambda\} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}\}$ forms a $\mathcal{k}$-basis for $\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h$, we see that there is a $\mathcal{k}$-linear map $\varphi_h : \mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h \to \mathscr{K}'_\vartriangle(n)_h$ such that $\varphi_h(A\{\lambda\}) = \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}} \binom{\mu}{\lambda}[A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\mu)]_1$ for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$ we have $[\mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]_1[\mathrm{diag}(\bar\mu)]_1 = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}[\mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]_1$. This together with 4.2 implies that

$$\varphi_h\left(\binom{H_i}{k} A\{\lambda\}\right) = \varphi_h\left(\binom{H_i}{k}\right)\varphi_h(A\{\lambda\})$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$. Using (4.0.2), 4.3 and 7.1(1) one can show that

$$\varphi_h(u^+_{kE^\vartriangle_{i,j},1}A\{\lambda\}) = \varphi_h(u^+_{kE^\vartriangle_{i,j},1})\varphi_h(A\{\lambda\}) \text{ and } \varphi_h(u^-_{kE^\vartriangle_{i,j},1}A\{\lambda\}) = \varphi_h(u^-_{kE^\vartriangle_{i,j},1})\varphi_h(A\{\lambda\})$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_h$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle,p^h}$, $i < j$ and $0 \leqslant k < p^h$. Consequently, φ_h is an algebra homomorphism, since $\mathfrak{u}_\vartriangle(n)_h$ is generated by $\binom{H_i}{k}$, $u^+_{kE^\vartriangle_{i,j},1}$ and $u^-_{kE^\vartriangle_{i,j},1}$ for $0 \leqslant k < p^h$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $i < j$. In

addition, for $A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$ we have

$$\varphi_h(A\{\lambda\}) = [A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]_1 + \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h},\, \lambda<\mu} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} [A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\mu)]_1.$$

It follows that the set $\{\varphi_h(A\{\lambda\}) \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h,\, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\}$ forms a $\mathcal{k}$-basis for $\mathscr{K}'_\triangle(n)_h$. The theorem is proved. □

Let $\widehat{\mathsf{K}}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$ be the $\mathcal{k}$-module of all formal $\mathcal{k}$-linear combinations $\sum_{A\in\widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)} \beta_A[A]_1$ satisfying the following property: for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle$, the sets $\{A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n) \mid \beta_A \neq 0,\ \mathrm{ro}(A) = \mathbf{x}\}$ and $\{A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n) \mid \beta_A \neq 0,\ \mathrm{co}(A) = \mathbf{x}\}$ are finite. We can define the product of two elements $\sum_{A\in\widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)} \beta_A[A]_1$, $\sum_{B\in\widetilde{\Theta}_\triangle(n)} \gamma_B[B]_1$ in $\widehat{\mathsf{K}}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$ to be $\sum_{A,B} \beta_A\gamma_B[A]_1 \cdot [B]_1$ where $[A]_1 \cdot [B]_1$ is the product in $\mathsf{K}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$. This defines an associative algebra structure on $\widehat{\mathsf{K}}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$. Note that $\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle}[\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1$ is the identity element of $\widehat{\mathsf{K}}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$. We are ready to show that $\mathscr{K}'_\triangle(n)_h$ and the hyperalgebra $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ can all be realized as subalgebras of $\widehat{\mathsf{K}}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$.

Theorem 7.4. *There is a injective algebra homomorphism $\psi_h : \mathscr{K}'_\triangle(n)_h \to \widehat{\mathsf{K}}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$ such that $\psi_h([A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]_1) = [\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]\!]_1$ for $A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h$ and $\bar\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$, where $[\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]\!]_1 = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle,\, \bar\nu=\bar\lambda}[A + \mathrm{diag}(\nu)]_1 \in \widehat{\mathsf{K}}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$.*

Proof. Since the set $\{[\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]\!]_1 \mid A \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h,\, \bar\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\}$ is linear independent, the linear map ψ_h is injective. It remains to show that ψ_h is an algebra homomorphism. Let $\bar\lambda,\ \bar\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\triangle,p^h},\ A, B \in \Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h$. Assume that $\overline{\mathrm{co}(B)} + \bar\mu = \overline{\mathrm{ro}(A)} + \bar\lambda$. Then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle$ such that $\bar\alpha = \bar\lambda$, $\bar\beta = \bar\mu$ and $\mathrm{co}(B) + \beta = \mathrm{ro}(A) + \alpha$. By definition we have in $\widehat{\mathsf{K}}_\triangle(n)_\mathcal{k}$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_h([B + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\mu)]_1)) \cdot \psi_h([A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]_1) &= \sum_{\substack{\mu',\lambda'\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle, \overline{\mu'}=\bar\mu,\, \overline{\lambda'}=\bar\lambda \\ \mathrm{co}(B)+\mu'=\mathrm{ro}(A)+\lambda'}} [B + \mathrm{diag}(\mu')]_1 \cdot [A + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda')]_1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mu',\lambda'\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle, \overline{\mu'}=\bar\beta,\, \overline{\lambda'}=\bar\alpha \\ \lambda'-\mu'=\alpha-\beta}} [B + \mathrm{diag}(\mu')]_1 \cdot [A + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda')]_1.\end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda', \mu' \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle$ is such that $\overline{\lambda'} = \bar\alpha$, $\overline{\mu'} = \bar\beta$ and $\lambda' - \mu' = \alpha - \beta$, then $\lambda' = \alpha + p^h\delta$ and $\mu' = \beta + p^h\delta$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle$. It follows that $\psi_h([B + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\mu)]_1)) \cdot \psi_h([A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]_1) = \sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle}[B + \mathrm{diag}(\beta + p^h\delta)]_1 \cdot [A + \mathrm{diag}(\alpha + p^h\delta)]_1$. By 7.2(1), we may write $[B + \mathrm{diag}(\beta)]_1 \cdot [A + \mathrm{diag}(\alpha)]_1 = \sum_{C\in\Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h,\, \gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle} \rho_{C,\gamma}[C + \mathrm{diag}(\gamma)]_1$ in $\mathscr{K}_\triangle(n)_h$, where $\rho_{C,\gamma} \in \mathcal{k}$. Consequently, by 7.2(2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_h([B + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\mu)]_1)) \cdot \psi_h([A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]_1) &= \sum_{\delta,\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle,\, C\in\Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h} \rho_{C,\gamma}[C + \mathrm{diag}(\gamma + p^h\delta)]_1 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^n_\triangle,\, C\in\Theta_\triangle^\pm(n)_h} \rho_{C,\gamma}[\![C + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\gamma)]\!]_1 \\ &= \psi_h([B + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\mu)]_1 \cdot [A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar\lambda)]_1).\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 7.5. *There is an injective algebra homomorphism $\zeta_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \widehat{\mathsf{K}}_{\vartriangle}(n)_{\mathcal{K}}$ satisfying*

$$A\{\lambda\} \mapsto \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} [A + \mathrm{diag}(\mu)]_1$$

for $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle}$. Furthermore we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h & \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} & \mathscr{K}'_{\vartriangle}(n)_h \\ {\scriptstyle \zeta_{\mathcal{K}}|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h}} \big\downarrow & \swarrow {\scriptstyle \psi_h} & \\ \widehat{\mathsf{K}}_{\vartriangle}(n)_{\mathcal{K}} & & \end{array}$$

where φ_h is defined in 7.3 and ψ_h is defined in 7.4.

Proof. From 4.9 we see that there is a linear map $\zeta_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \widehat{\mathsf{K}}_{\vartriangle}(n)_{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\zeta_{\mathcal{K}}(A\{\lambda\}) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} [A + \mathrm{diag}(\mu)]_1$ for $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle}$. Clearly we have $\zeta_{\mathcal{K}}|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h} = \psi_h \circ \varphi_h$ for $h \geqslant 1$. This together with 7.3 and 7.4 implies that $\zeta_{\mathcal{K}}|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h}$ is an injective algebra homomorphism for $h \geqslant 1$. Consequently, by (6.0.1), we conclude that $\zeta_{\mathcal{K}}$ is an injective algebra homomorphism. □

8. The affine analogue of little and infinitesimal Schur algebras

Recall the map $\xi_{r,\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{K}}$ defined in (6.8.1). Let $\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_h = \xi_{r,\mathcal{K}}(\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h)$. The algebra $\mathfrak{u}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_h$ is the affine analogue of little Schur algebras introduced in [12, 14].

Recall that $\Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle} \mid \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \lambda_i = r\}$. Let $\overline{\Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)}_{p^h} = \{\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle p^h} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\}$. For $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)_h$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle p^h}$ we define the element $[\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar{\lambda}), r]\!]_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{K}}$ as follows:

$$[\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar{\lambda}), r]\!]_1 = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n, r-\sigma(A)) \\ \bar{\mu} = \bar{\lambda}}} [A + \mathrm{diag}(\mu)]_1 & \text{if } \sigma(A) \leqslant r \text{ and } \bar{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n, r-\sigma(A))}_{p^h}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ let $\mathtt{e}^{(A^+)} = \xi_{r,\mathcal{K}}(E^{(A^+)})$, $\mathtt{f}^{(A^-)} = \xi_{r,\mathcal{K}}(F^{(A^-)})$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(A) = (\sigma_i(A))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\vartriangle}$ where $\sigma_i(A) = \sum_{j<i}(a_{i,j} + a_{j,i})$.

By 4.4 we have the following result.

Lemma 8.1. *For $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ we have the following triangular relation in $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{K}}$:*

$$\mathtt{e}^{(A^+)} [\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1 \mathtt{f}^{(A^-)} = [A + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A))]_1 + f$$

where f is a $\mathcal{K}$-linear combination of $[B + \mathrm{diag}(\mu)]_1$ with $B \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$, $\mu \in \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n, r - \sigma(B))$ and $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$. In particular, the set $\{\mathtt{e}^{(A^+)} [\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1 \mathtt{f}^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n),\ \lambda \in \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r),\ \lambda \geqslant \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A)\}$ forms a $\mathcal{K}$-basis for $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Proposition 8.2. *Each of the following set forms a $\mathcal{k}$-basis for $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n,r)_h$:*

(1) $\mathfrak{B}_{h,r} = \{A\{\lambda, r\} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h},\ \bar{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(A))}\}$;
(2) $\mathfrak{P}_{h,r} = \{[\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar{\lambda}), r]\!]_1 \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \bar{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(A))}\}$;
(3) $\mathfrak{M}_{h,r} = \{\mathtt{e}^{(A^+)}[\![\mathrm{diag}(\bar{\lambda}), r]\!]_1\mathtt{f}^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \geqslant \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A),\ \lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)\}$.

Proof. By 6.2, we have

$$\xi_{r,\mathcal{k}}(A\{\lambda\}) = A\{\lambda, r\} = \sum_{\bar{\mu} \in \overline{\Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(A))}} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} [\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar{\mu}), r]\!]_1.$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}$. This together with 6.6 implies that

$$\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n,r)_h = \mathrm{span}_\mathcal{k}\{A\{\lambda, r\}) \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h}\} \subseteq \mathrm{span}_\mathcal{k}\, \mathfrak{P}_{h,r}.$$

For $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ let $\mathcal{X}_A = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_{\triangle,p^h} \mid \bar{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(A))}\}$. Then for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}_A$, we have

$$A\{\lambda, r\} = [\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar{\lambda}), r]\!]_1 + \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{X}_A,\, \mu > \lambda} \binom{\mu}{\lambda} [\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\bar{\mu}), r]\!]_1.$$

It follows that $\mathrm{span}_\mathcal{k}\, \mathfrak{P}_{h,r} = \mathrm{span}_\mathcal{k}\, \mathfrak{B}_{h,r} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n,r)_h$. So each of the sets $\mathfrak{P}_{h,r}$, $\mathfrak{B}_{h,r}$ is a $\mathcal{k}$-basis for $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n,r)_h$.

In addition, by 8.1 we have

$$\mathtt{e}^{(A^+)}[\![\mathrm{diag}(\bar{\lambda}), r]\!]_1\mathtt{f}^{(A^-)} = [\![A + \mathrm{diag}(\overline{\lambda - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A)}), r]\!]_1 + f$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda_\triangle(n,r)}$, where f is a $\mathcal{k}$-linear combination of $[B + \mathrm{diag}(\mu)]_1$ with $B \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)$, $\mu \in \Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(B))$ and $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$. Since $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n,r)_h = \mathrm{span}_\mathcal{k}\, \mathfrak{P}_{h,r}$ we conclude that f must be a $\mathcal{k}$-linear combination of $[\![B + \mathrm{diag}(\bar{\nu})]\!]_1$ $B \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$, $\bar{\nu} \in \overline{\Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(B))}$ and $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A)$. Consequently, the set $\mathfrak{M}_{h,r}$ forms a $\mathcal{k}$-basis for $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n,r)_h$. □

For $h \geqslant 1$ let $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n)_h$ be the $\mathcal{k}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{k}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by $\mathfrak{u}_\triangle(n)_h$ and $\binom{H_i}{t}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 8.3. *Each of the following set forms a $\mathcal{k}$-basis for $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n)_h$:*

(1) $\{E^{(A^+)}\binom{H}{\lambda}F^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle\}$;
(2) $\{A\{\lambda\} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle\}$.

Proof. The result can be proved in a way similar to the proof of 6.6. □

For $h \geqslant 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n,r)_h = \xi_{r,\mathcal{k}}(\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n)_h)$. The algebra $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n,r)_h$ is the affine analogue of infinitesimal Schur algebras introduced in [6].

Proposition 8.4. *Each of the following set forms a $\mathcal{k}$-basis for $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n,r)_h$:*

(1) $\mathfrak{P}'_{h,r} = \{[A + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1 \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(A))\}$;
(2) $\mathfrak{M}'_{h,r} = \{\mathtt{e}^{(A^+)}[\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1\mathtt{f}^{(A^-)} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \geqslant \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A),\ \lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n,r)\}$.

Proof. From 8.3 we see that $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n,r)_h = \mathrm{span}_\mathcal{K}\{A\{\lambda,r\} \mid A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\triangle\} \subseteq \mathrm{span}_\mathcal{K}\mathfrak{P}'_{h,r}$. Furthermore, for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(A))$ we have $[A+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1 = A\{\lambda,r\} \in \mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n,r)_h$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{P}'_{h,r}$ forms a $\mathcal{K}$-basis for $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n,r)_h$. Now using 8.1, we conclude that $\mathfrak{M}'_{h,r}$ is also a $\mathcal{K}$-basis for $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n,r)_h$. □

Remark 8.5. Let $\mathfrak{s}(n,r)_h$ be the $\mathcal{K}$-submodule of $\mathfrak{s}_\triangle(n,r)_h$ spanned by the elements $[A+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1$ for $A \in \Theta^\pm(n)_h$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_\triangle(n, r-\sigma(A))$, where $\Theta^\pm(n)_h$ is the subset of $\Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ consisting of all $A \in \Theta^\pm_\triangle(n)_h$ such that $a_{i,j} = 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $j \notin [1,n]$. Then $\mathfrak{s}(n,r)_h$ is the infinitesimal Schur algebras introduced in [6].

References

[1] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 655–677.

[2] A. G. Cox, *On some applications of infinitesimal methods to quantum groups and related algebras, Ph.D thesis*, University of London 1997.

[3] A. G. Cox, *On the blocks of the infinitesimal Schur algebras*, Quart. J. Math **51** (2000), 39–56.

[4] C. W. Curtis, *Representations of Lie algebras of classical type with applications to linear groups*, J. Math. Mech. **9** (1960), 307–326.

[5] B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **401**, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[6] S. R. Doty, D. K. Nakano and K. M. Peters, *On Infinitesimal Schur algebras,* Proc. London Math. Soc. **72** (1996), 588–612.

[7] J. Du, *A note on the quantized Weyl reciprocity at roots of unity,* Alg. Colloq. **2**(1995), 363–372.

[8] J. Du and Q. Fu, *Quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$, infinite q-Schur algebras and their representations*, J. Algebra **322** (2009) 1516–1547.

[9] J. Du and Q. Fu, *A modified BLM approach to quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, Math. Z. **266** (2010), 747–781.

[10] J. Du and Q. Fu, *Quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ via Hecke algebras,* Adv. Math. **282** (2015) 23–46.

[11] J. Du and Q. Fu, *The Integral quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, arXiv:1404.5679.

[12] J. Du, Q. Fu and J.-P. Wang, *Infinitesimal quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and little q-Schur algebras,* J. Algebra **287** (2005), 199–233.

[13] Q. Fu, *A comparison between little and infinitesimal q-Schur algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **33** (2005), 2663–2682.

[14] Q. Fu, *Little q-Schur algebras at even roots of unity*, J. Algebra, **311**(2007), 202–215.

[15] Q. Fu, *Integral affine Schur–Weyl reciprocity*, Adv. Math. **243** (2013), 1–21.

[16] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for the integral form of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **17** (2015), 1550019, 17 pp.

[17] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for Frobenius–Lusztig Kernels of type A*, Math. Res. Lett., to appear.

[18] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_n})$*, preprint, arXiv:1204.3142.

[19] H. Garland, *The arithmetic theory of loop algebras*, J. Algebra **53** (1978), 480–551.

[20] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1993, 67–85.

[21] R. M. Green, *The affine q-Schur algebra*, J. Algebra **215** (1999), 379–411.

[22] J. E. Humphreys, *On the hyperalgebra of a semisimple algebraic group*, Contributions to algebra (collection of papers dedicated to Ellis Kolchin), pp. 203–210. Academic Press, New York, 1977.

[23] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A categorification of quantum* sl(n), Quantum Topol. **1** (2010), 1–92.

[24] B. Kostant, *Groups over* $\mathbf{Z}$, Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. (1966), 90–98.

[25] A. Lauda, *A categorification of quantum* sl(2). Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 3327–3424.

[26] G. Lusztig, *Finite dimensional Hopf algebras arising from quantized universal enveloping algebras,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 257–296.

[27] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, 1993.

[28] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147–177.

[29] L. Peng, *Some Hall polynomials for representation-finite trivial extension algebras*, J. Algebra **197** (1997), 1–13.

[30] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 583–592.

[31] C. M. Ringel, *The composition algebra of a cyclic quiver*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **66** (1993), 507–537.

[32] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 267–297.

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, China.

E-mail address: q.fu@hotmail.com, q.fu@tongji.edu.cn